

Guide for reviewers

In the reviewing process, the text of the publication is evaluated independently by 2 expert reviewers. The names of the reviewers are only known to the editorial board.

The reviewer is selected with regard to the topic and scientific field of the article. The reviewer comments mainly on the originality and scientific merit (quality) of the article. The reviewer has at his/her disposal the review form. The reviewer suggests relevant corrections and changes in the article and recommends the article for publication or revision. The aim of the review is also feedback for author focused on improvement of the manuscript with regard to publication.

The editorial office asks the reviewers to comment on their ability to elaborate the review to five working days. In the case of elaboration the editorial office, the reviewers are asked to deliver their review to editorial office at the latest until 1 month after receiving of the manuscript.

As a supplement of the review, the editorial board also welcomes notes and glosses directly in the evaluated text.

The reviews are internal documents of the editorial office and are archived for at least 5 years.

[Form of review for reviewer](#)